

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Patent Interference No. 105,188

JAY M. **SHORT**
(U.S. Patent 6,479,258),
Junior Party,

v.

JUHA **PUNNONEN**,
WILLEM P. C. STEMMER, ROBERT G. WHALEN,
AND RUSSELL HOWARD
(U.S. Application 09/724,869),
Senior Party.

Entered: 21 April 2006

Judgment - Bd. R. 127(b) - Requested

Before SCHAFER, GRON, and TORCZON, Administrative Patent Judges.

PER CURIAM.

1 Short has abandoned the contest (see Paper 196). Consequently, the case is
2 ripe for judgment. Bd.R. 127(b).

3 JUDGMENT

4 ORDERED that judgment as to count 1, the sole count, be entered ADVERSE to
5 Short;

6 FURTHER ORDERED that Short's involved claims, 1-86, be CANCELLED; and

7 FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this decision be entered in the

8 administrative record of the involved patent and application; and

1 RECOMMENDED that the examiner REJECT Punnonen's involved claim 47 for
2 the reasons provided in a separately entered memorandum in support of this
3 recommendation.

Richard E. Schafer
Administrative Patent Judge

Teddy S. Gron
Administrative Patent Judge

Richard Torczon
Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT
APPEALS AND
INTERFERENCES

cc (via electronic mail):

For Short: **Jane M. Love** and **Caren K. Khoo**, WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND
DORR LLP, of New York City, New York.

For Punnonen: **R. Danny Huntington** and **Sharon E. Crane**, BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN
LLP, of Washington, D.C.

Notice: Agreements and understandings regarding the termination of an interference
are subject to filing requirements under 35 U.S.C. 135(c).

Notice: In the event of judicial review, note the requirements of Bd. R. 8(b).